Robert C. Weaver, Jr. OSB #80135

E-Mail: rweaver@gsblaw.com

Laura Caldera Taylor, OSB #99378

E-Mail: ltaylor@gsblaw.com Garvey Schubert Barer

Eleventh Floor

121 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, Oregon 97204-3141 Telephone: (503) 228-3939

Fax: (503) 226-0259

Attorneys for Defendants Biomet, Inc. and Arthrotek, Inc.

Brenna K. Legaard, OSB No. 00165

brenna@chernofflaw.com

Susan D. Pitchford, OSB No. 98091

sdp@chernofflaw.com

CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, McCLUNG &

STENZEL, LLP

1600 ODS Tower

601 S.W. Second Avenue

Portland, Oregon 97204-3157

Telephone: (503) 227-5631

Facsimile: (503) 228-4373

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Smith & Nephew, Inc. and Dr. John O. Hayhurst

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. and JOHN O. HAYHURST, M.D.,

Case No. CV05-611-KI

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs,

PATENT CASE

37

BIOMET, INC. and ARTHROTEK, INC.,

Defendants.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii), and (c) the Parties hereto, by their respective undersigned counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that, subject to the approval of this Court, that this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be entered, and this civil action shall be hereby dismissed as follows:

- 1. This Court's Opinion and Order of November 21, 2005 granting a Preliminary Injunction shall remain in force and effect permanently, and this Court shall retain jurisdiction over enforcement of such Preliminary Injunction and certain ones of the terms of settlement amongst the parties;
- 2. All remaining claims of plaintiffs' Smith & Nephew, Inc. ("Smith & Nephew") and John O. Hayhurst, M.D. ("Hayhurst") are hereby dismissed without prejudice;
- 3. The defenses raised by defendants Biomet, Inc. ("Biomet") and Arthrotek, Inc. ("Arthrotek") in this civil action with respect to the infringement allegations that were asserted against their SureFire product are hereby dismissed with prejudice;
- 4. Smith & Nephew, Hayhurst, Biomet and Arthrotek, and each of them, shall retain the right and ability to assert, and/or otherwise litigate, any and all claims and/or defenses (including counterclaims) relative to the issues of infringement, claim construction, enforceability and/or validity of U.S. Patent No. 5,417,691 ("the '691 patent"), and any other claims and defenses, together with the right to appeal same, whether asserted in this civil action or not; relative to any product of Biomet and/or Arthrotek that is neither the same as, nor essentially the same as, the SureFire product as it existed as of November 21, 2005. As used herein, the term "essentially the same as the SureFire product" means that any changes from the SureFire product are merely colorable and unrelated to the limitations in the claims of the '691

patent, as the phrase "essentially the same as" was used in the case of *Hallco Mfg. Co., Inc. v. Foster*, 256 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2001);

5. Each Party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Entered this _____ day of ______, 2007.

Honorable Garr M. King United States District Judge

Approved on Behalf of Plaintiffs JOHN O. HAYHURST, M.D. and SMITH & NEPHEW, INC.

Approved on Behalf of Defendants BIOMET, INC. and ARTHROTEK, INC.

Susan D. Pitchford, OSB No. 98091

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Laura Caldera Taylor, OSB No. 99

Of Attorneys for Defendants

PDX_DOCS:388702.1 [35880-00100]